

Références bibliographiques

- Abaffy, E. (1978). A mediális igékről. *Magyar Nyelv* **74**. p. 281-293.
- Aksu-Koç, A. & Küntay, A. (2001). Reformulating causal relations while retelling narratives: evidence from Turkish.
- Allen, S. E. M. & Crago, M. B. (1996). Early passive acquisition in Inuktitut. *Journal of Child Language* **23**. Cambridge: University Press. p. 129-155.
- Allen, S. E. M. & Schröder, H. (2003). The role of preferred argument structure in early Inuktitut spontaneous speech data. In Du Bois, J. W., Kumpf, L. & Ashby, W. (Eds.). *Preferred argument structure: Grammar as architecture for function*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. p. 301–338.
- Applebee, A. N. (1978). *The child's concept of story*. Chicago: University Press.
- Azzam, K., Humphreys, & Gaizauskas, R. (1998). Evaluating a Focus-Based Approach to Anaphora Resolution. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual Meeting of the ACL and COLING-98*. Association for Computational Linguistics. p. 74-78.
- Bamberg, Michael (1987). *The Acquisition of Narratives: learning to use language*. New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Bamberg, M. (2008). Sequencing Events in Time or Sequencing Events in Storytelling? From Cognition to Discourse – With Frogs Paving the Way. In Guo, J., Ervin-Tripp, S. & Budwig, N. (Eds.). *Festschrift for Dan Slobin*. Mahwah, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. p. 181-196.
- Bates, E. & MacWhinney, B. (1989). Functionalism and the competition model. In MacWhinney, B. & Bates, E. (Eds.). *The Crosslinguistic Study of Sentence Processing*. Cambridge: University Press. p. 3-73.
- Bates, E., MacWhinney, B., Caselli, C., Devescovi, A., Natale, F. & Venza, V. (1984). A Cross-linguistic Study of the Development of Sentence Interpretation Strategies. *Child Development* **55**. p. 341-354.
- Benczédy, J., Fábián, P., Rácz, E. & Velcsov, M. (1968). *A mai magyar nyelv*. Budapest: Tankönyvkiadó.

- Berman, R. A. & Slobin, D.I. (1986). *Frog story procedures in coding manual: Temporality in discourse*. Institute of Human Development, University of California, Berkeley.
- Berman, R. A. & Slobin, D. I. (Eds.) (1994). *Relating events in narrative. A crosslinguistic developmental study*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Berman, R. A. (1988). On the ability to relate events in narrative. *Discourse Processes* **11**. p. 469-497.
- Berman, R. A. (1994). Form and function in developing narrative abilities. In Slobin, D. I., Gerhardt, J., Kyratzis, A. & Guo, J. (Eds.). *Social interaction, social context, and language: Essays in honor of Susan Ervin-Tripp*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Botvin, G. J. & Sutton-Smith, B. (1977). The development of structural complexity in children's fantasy narratives. *Developmental Psychology* **13**. p. 377-388.
- Brown, R. (1973). *A first language: The early stages*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Bruner, J. (1986). *Actual minds, possible worlds*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Brunetti, L. (2004a). *A unification of focus*. Padova: Unipress.
- Brunetti, L. (2004b). Are there two distinct foci in Italian? *Southwest Journal of Linguistics* **23**-2.
- Büring, D. (1997). *The Meaning of Topic and Focus: The 59th Street Bridge Accent. Routledge Studies in German Linguistics*. London/New York: Routledge.
- Chafe, W. L. (1980). *The Pear Stories: Cognitive, Cultural, and Cinguistic Aspects of Narrative Production*. New Jersey: ALEX Publishing Corporation.
- Chafe, W. L. (1987). Cognitive constraints on information flow. In Tomlin, R. S. (Ed.). *Coherence and Grounding in Discourse*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. p. 21-51.
- Charolles, M. & Vigier, A. (2005). Les adverbiaux en position préverbale : portée cadrative et organisation du discours. In M.Charolles & M-P.Péry-Woodley eds., Les adverbiaux cadratifs. *Langue Française* **148**. p. 9-30.

- Charolles, M. (2003). De la topicalité des adverbiaux détachés en tête de phrase. In Charolles, M. & Prévost, S. (Eds.). *Adverbiaux et topiques*. Louvain la Neuve, Travaux de Linguistique 47. p. 11-51.
- Charolles, M. (2005). *Framing adverbials and their role in discourse cohesion : from connexion to forward labelling*. Papers of the Symposium on the Exploration and Modelling of Meaning. Biarritz.
- Chen, Y.-C. & Yeh, C.-L. (2007). Topic Identification in Chinese Discourse Based on Centering Model. *Journal of Chinese Language and Computing* **17**-2. p. 83-96.
- Chien, Y.-C. (1983). *Topic-comment structure and grammatical subject in first language acquisition of Mandarin Chinese*. Ph.D. dissertation. Cornell University, New York.
- Cinque, G. (1993). A Null Theory of Phrase and Compound Stress. *Linguistic Inquiry* **24**. p. 239-297.
- Clancy, P. (2003). The lexicon in interaction: Developmental origins of preferred argument structure in Korean. In Du Bois, J. W., Kumpf, L. & Ashby, W. (Eds.). *Preferred argument structure: Grammar as architecture for function*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. p. 81–108.
- Clark, H. H. (1975). Bridging. In Schank, R. C. & Nash-Webber, B. L. (Eds.). *Theoretical issues in natural language processing*. New York: Association for Computing Machinery. p. 169-174.
- Coirier, P., Gaonac'h, D. & Passerault, J.-M. (1996). *Psycholinguistique textuelle : Approche cognitive de la compréhension et de la production des textes*. Paris : Armand Colin.
- Cornish, F. (2001). L'inversion « locative » en français, italien et anglais : propriétés syntaxiques, sémantiques et discursives. *Cahier de Grammaire* **26**.: *Sémantique et Discours*. p. 101-123.
- Cornish, F. (2004). 'Focus of Attention' in discourse: a comparison between the four FG Topic functions and the systems of 'Focus' and 'Deixis' in the Columbia School of Linguistics. In Mackenzie, J. L. & Gómez-González, M. A. (Eds.). *A New Architecture for Functional Grammar*. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. p. 117-150.

- Creissels, D. (1995). *Éléments de syntaxe générale*. Paris : PUF Linguistique nouvelle.
- Creissels, D. (2006). *Syntaxe générale : une introduction typologique*. Tomes 1-2.
- Croft, W. (1994). Voice: beyond control and affectedness. In Hopper, P. & Fox, B. *Voice: Form and Function*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. p. 89-117.
- Daneš, F. (1974). Functional sentence perspective and the organization of the text. In Daneš, F. (Ed.) *Papers on Functional Sentence Perspective*. Prague: Academia /The Hague: Mouton. p. 106-128.
- DeLancey, S. (1981). An interpretation of split ergativity and related patterns. *Language* **57**. p. 627-657.
- Demuth, K. (1989). Maturation and the acquisition of the Sesotho passive. *Language* **65**. p. 56-80.
- Demuth, K. (1990). Subject, topic and Sesotho passive. *Journal of Child Language* **17**. p. 67-84.
- Demuth, K. (1992). Acquisition of Sesotho. In Slobin, D. I. (Ed.). *The Cross-Linguistic Study of Language Acquisition*, Vol 3. Hillsdale, N. J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. p. 557-638.
- Di Eugenio, B. (1990). Centering Theory and the Italian Pronominal System. In *Proceedings 13th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, COLING-90*. Helsinki. p. 270-275.
- Di Eugenio, B. (1997). Centering in Italian. In *Centering in Discourse*. Oxford: University Press.
- Dik, S. C. (1997). Pragmatic Functions. In *The Theory of Functional Grammar Part I : The structure of the clause*. Seconde édition. Berlin/New York: Mouton-de Gruyter.
- Dore, J. (2006). Monologue as reenvoicement of dialogue. In Nelson, K. (Ed.). *Narratives from the Crib*. Harvard: University Press. p. 231-263
- Du Bois, J. W. (1987). The Discourse Basis of Ergativity. *Language* **63**-4. p. 805–855.
- Du Bois, J. W., Kumpf, L. & Ashby, W. (Eds.) (2003). *Preferred argument structure: Grammar as architecture for function*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- É. Kiss, K. (1994). *The Syntax of Hungarian*. Cambridge: University Press.

- É. Kiss, K. (1998). Identificational Focus versus Informational Focus. *Language* **74**-2. p. 245-273.
- É. Kiss, K. (2002). Eseményszerkezet és topik-predikátum tagolás a magyar mondatban. *Nyelvtudományi Közlemények* **101**. p. 160–172.
- É. KISS, K. (2007). Topic and Focus: Two Structural Positions Associated with Logical Functions in the Left Periphery of the Hungarian Sentence. In Féry, C., Fanselow, G., & Krifka, M. (Eds.). *The Notions of Information Structure. Interdisciplinary Studies on Information Structure* 6. p. 69–81.
- É. Kiss, K., Kiefer, F. & Siptár, P. (2003). *Új magyar nyelvtan. Osiris Tankönyvek*. Osiris Kiadó: Budapest.
- Enkvist, N. E. (1989). Connexity, Interpretability, Universes of Discourse, and Text Worlds. In Allén, J. (Ed.). *Possible Worlds in Humanities, Arts and Sciences*. Walter de Gruyter: Berlin/New York. p. 162-186.
- Erbaugh, M. S. (1992). The acquisition of Mandarin. In Slobin, D. I. (Ed.). *The crosslinguistic study of language acquisition*, Vol. 3. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. pp. 373-455.
- Ervin-Tripp, S. (1977). Wait for me roller skate. In Ervin-Tripp, S. & Mitchell-Kernan, C. (Eds.). *Child discourse*. New York: Academic Press. p. 165-188.
- Farkas, Zs. (2008). A személyjelölés lehetőségei Márai *Egy polgár vallomásai* című művében. *Első Század* **2**. p. 167-203.
- Fayol, M. (1983). L'acquisition du récit : un bilan des recherches. *Revue française de pédagogie* **62**. p. 65-82.
- Fayol, M. (1984). La distanciation dans le langage, *Enfance* **1**. p. 5-19.
- Fayol, M. (1985). *Le récit et sa construction. Une approche de la psychologie cognitive*. Neuchâtel : Delachaux et Niestlé.
- Fejős, E. (2004). A -kod(ik)/-ked(ik)/-köd(ik); -lkod(ik)/-lked(ik)/-lköd(ik)... képzős igék. *Magyar Nyelvőr* **128**-3. p. 339-346.
- Fejős, E. (2008). A mediális ige – kitekintés a nemzetközi szakirodalomra. *Félúton 2*. Budapest : ELTE BTK Nyelvtudományi Doktori Iskola.

- Fekete, G. (2007). Referential Cohesion in Hungarian: A Developmental Study. *Proceedings of The second Oxford Postgraduate Conference in Linguistics, LingO-2007*. Oxford.
- Fekete, G. (2008). *Information structure and choice of perspective in the Hungarian narrative discourse: a developmental study*. Syntax of the World's Languages III., SWL3. Berlin.
- Fekete, G. (2010). *Perspective and attentional focus in the narration of the Hungarian children*. 6th International Conference on Language Acquisition, CIAL 2010. Barcelone.
- Fillmore, C. (1977). The case for case reopened. In Cole, P. & Sadock, J. M. (Eds). *Syntax and Semantics 8.: Grammatical Relations*. New York: Academic Press. p. 59-81.
- Firbas, 1971, 1992
- Firbas, J. (1964). On defining the theme in functional sentence analysis. *Travaux Linguistiques de Prague* **1**. p. 267-280.
- Firbas, J. (1966). Non-thematic Subjects in Contemporary English. *Travaux Linguistiques de Prague* **2**. p. 239-256.
- Firbas, J. (1971). *On the Concept of Communicative Dynamism in the Theory of Functional Sentence Perspective*. Vol. 7.: *Brno Studies in English*. Brno: Brno University. p. 12-47.
- Firbas, J. (1992). *Functional Sentence Perspective in Written and Spoken Communication. Studies in English Language*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Firbas, J. (1998). On some basic issues of the theory of functional sentence perspective V: Some more thoughts on Marie Luise Thein's critique of the theory. *Brno Studies in English* **24**. p. 11-32.
- Forgács, T. (1998). Néhány megjegyzés a magyar igenemek kérdéséhez. *Magyar Nyelv* **98**-3. p. 301-312.
- Fuchs, C. (2006). Locatif spatial initial et position du sujet nominal : pour une approche topologique de la construction de l'énoncé. *Linguisticae Investigationes* **29**-1. p. 61-74.

- Gallagher, S. & Hutto, D. (2008). Understanding others through primary interaction and narrative practice. In Zlatev, Racine, Sinha & Itkonen (Eds). *The Shared Mind: Perspectives on Intersubjectivity*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. p. 17-38.
- Galmiche, M. (1992). Au carrefour des malentendus: le thème. In *L'Information grammaticale* **54**. p. 3-10.
- Gernsbacher, M. A. & Hargreaves, D. (1992). The privilege of primacy: Experimental data and cognitive explanations. In Payne, D. L. (Ed.). *Pragmatics of word order flexibility*. Philadelphia: John Benjamins. p. 83-116.
- Gernsbacher, M. A. (1995). The Structure Building Framework: What it is, what it might also be, and why. In Britton, B. K. & Graesser, A. C. (Eds). *Models of text understanding*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. p. 289-311.
- Givón, T. (1979). *On Understanding Grammar*. New York: Academic Press.
- Givón, T. (1983). Topic Continuity in Discourse: The Functional Domain of Switch-Reference. In Heiman, I. & Munro, P. (Eds.). *Switch-Reference and Universal Grammar*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. p. 51-82.
- Givón, T. (1987). Beyond foreground and background. In Tomlin, R. S. (Ed.). *Coherence and Grounding in Discourse. Typological Studies in Language* **11**. Amsterdam/Philadelphia : John Benjamins. p. 175-189.
- Givón, T. (1990). *Syntax: A functional-typological introduction*. Volume II. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Givón, T. (1992). The grammar of referential coherence as mental processing instructions. *Linguistics* **30**. p. 5-55.
- Givón, T. (2001). *Syntax : An Introduction*. Vol. II. Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Goldberg, A. E. (2004). Discourse and Argument Structure. In Horn, L. & Ward, G. (Eds.). *Handbook of Pragmatics*. Blackwell.
- Gombert, J. E. (1990). *Le développement métalinguistique*. Paris : PUF.
- Gonnand, S. & Jisa, H. (2000). L'effet de la diversité narrative sur les compétences des enfants d'âge scolaire. *Repères* **21**.

- Grobet, A. (2002). *L'identification des topiques dans les dialogues*. Bruxelles : De Boeck-Duculot.
- Grosz, B. J. & Gordon, P. C. (1999). Conceptions of limited attention and discourse focus. *Computational Linguistics* **25**. p. 617-624.
- Grosz, B. J. & Sidner, C. L. (1986). Attention, intentions, and the structure of discourse. *Computational Linguistics* **12**-3. p. 175-204.
- Grosz, B. J., Joshi, A. K. & Weinstein, S. (1995). Centering: A Framework for Modelling the Local Coherence of Discourse. *Association for Computational Linguistics* **21**-2. p. 203-225.
- Gundel, J. K. & Fretheim, T. (2004). Topic and Focus. In Horn, L. & Ward, G. (Eds.). *Handbook of Pragmatic Theory*. Blackwell. p. 174-196.
- Gundel, J. K. (1988). Universals of topic-comment structure In M. Hammond, E. Moravczik & J. Wirth (Eds.). *Studies in syntactic typology*. p. 209-239.
- Gundel, J. K. (1999). Topic, focus and the grammar pragmatics interface. In J. Alexander, N.Han and M. Minnick (eds.), *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Penn Linguistics Colloquium. Penn Working Papers in Linguistics* **6**-1. p. 185-200.
- Gundel, J. K. (1978). Stress, pronominalization and the given-new distinction. *University of Hawaii Working Papers in Linguistics* **10**-2. p. 1-13.
- H. Tóth, T. (1996). A magyar igenemek kérdéséhez. *Magyar Nyelv* **269**-286. p. 415-439.
- Hajicová, E. & Sgall, P. (1984). Text-and-Inference Based Answering of Questions. Sgall, P. (Ed.). *Contributions to Functional Syntax, Semantics, and Language Comprehension*. John Benjamins.
- Hakuta, K. (1982). Interaction between particles and word order in the comprehension of simple sentences in Japanese children. *Developmental Psychology* **18**. p. 62-76.
- Halliday, M.A.K. & Hassan, R. (1976). *Cohesion in English*. Londres, Longmans.
- Hannay, M. (1991). Pragmatic function assignment and word order variation in a Functional Grammar of English. *Journal of Pragmatics* **16**. p. 131-155.

- Havas, F. (2005). Tárgyas ragozás és medializáció. *Budapesti Uráli Műhely* **IV**. Budapest : MTA Nyelvtudományi Intézet. p. 147–186.
- Hickmann, M. (1995). Discourse organization and the development of reference to person, space, and time. In Fletcher, P. & MacWhinney, B. (Eds.) *Handbook of Language Acquisition*. Oxford: Blackwell. p. 194-218.
- Hickmann, M. (2003). *Children's discourse: Person, space, and time across languages*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Hickmann, M., Kail, M. & Roland, F. (1995). Cohesive anaphoric relations in French children's narratives as a function of mutual knowledge. *First Language* **15**. p. 277-300.
- Hirzalla, H. (2010). Discourse construction at 4-5 years of age: Language specificities and 'cognitive functional' constraints. *California Linguistic Notes* **XXXV**-2. California: Spring.
- Hobbs, J. (1979). Why is discours coherent? In Neubauer, F. (Ed.). *Coherence in natural language texts*. Hamburg: Buske.
- Hồ-Đắc, L.-M. (2007). *La position initiale dans l'organisation du discours : une exploration en corpus*. Thèse de doctorat nouveau régime, Université Toulouse le Mirail.
- Holmqvist, K. & Holšánová, J. (1996). Focus Movements and the Internal Images of Spoken Discourse. *Cognitive Studies* **50**. Lund: Lund University.
- Jisa, H. & Kern, S. (1998). Relative clauses in French children's narrative texts. *Journal of Child Language* **25**. Cambridge: University Press. p. 623-652.
- Jisa, H. & Richaud, F. (1994). Quelques sources de variations chez les enfants. *Acquisition et Interaction en Langue Etrangère* **4**. p. 7-51.
- Jisa, H. (2000). Increasing cohesion in narratives. *Linguistics* **38**-3. p. 591–620.
- Jisa, H., Chenu, F., Fekete, G. & Omar, H. (2010). Promoting patients in narrative discourse: A developmental perspective. In Kail, M. & Hickmann, M. (Eds.). *Language Acquisition across Linguistic and Cognitive Systems*. John Benjamins. p. 161-177.
- Jisa, H., Chenu, F., Fekete, G., Omar, H. & Saidi, D. (2009). Promouvoir les patients dans le discours narratif : Une perspective développementale. In Kail, M., Fayol, M. &

Hickmann, M. (Eds). *Apprentissage des langues premières et secondes*. Paris: CNRS Éditions. p. 179-197.

Jisa, H., Reilly, J. S., Verhoeven, L., Baruch, E. & Rosado, E. (2002). Passive voice constructions in written texts: A cross-linguistic developmental study. In Berman, R. & Verhoeven, L. (Eds.). *Written language and Literacy* **5-2**. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins. p. 163-181.

Kail, M. & Hickmann, M. (1992). French children's ability to introduce referents in narratives as a function of mutual knowledge. *First Language* **12**. p. 637-662.

Karmiloff-Smith, A. (1979). *A functional approach to child language : A study of determiners and reference*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Karmiloff-Smith, A. (1981). The grammatical marking of thematic structure in the development of language production. In Deutsch, W. (Ed.) *The child's construction of language*. London: Academic press. p. 221-147.

Karmiloff-Smith, A. 1983. Language development as a problem-solving process. *Papers and Reports on Child Language Development* **22**. p. 1-22.

Keenan, E. L. & Dryer, M. S. (2007). Passive in the World's Languages. In Shopen, T. (Ed.). *Clause Structure, Language Typology and Syntactic Description*. Vol. 1. Seconde édition. Cambridge: University Press. p. 243-281.

Keenan, E. L. & Schieffelin B. (1976). *Topic as a discourse notion: A study of topic in the in the conversations of children and adults*. New York: Academic Press.

Keeney, T. & Wolfe, J. (1972). The acquisition of agreement in English. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* **11**. p. 698-705.

Kemmer, S. & Verhagen, A. (1994). The grammar of causatives and the conceptual structure of events. *Cognitive Linguistics* **5-2**. p. 115-156.

Kemmer, S. (1994). Middle Voice, Transitivity, and the Elaboration of Events. In Fox, B. & Hopper, P. J. (Eds). *Voice: Form and Function*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins. p. 179-230.

Kern, S. (1997). *Comment les enfants jonglent avec les contraintes communicationnelles, discursives et linguistiques dans la production d'une narration*. Lyon: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion.

- Keszler, B. (Ed.) (2000). *Magyar Grammatika*. Budapest: Magyar Tankönyvkiadó.
- Kiefer, F. (Ed.) (1992). *Strukturális magyar nyelvtan*. Vol. 1. [Syntax]. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Kiefer, F. (Ed.) (2000). *Strukturális magyar nyelvtan*. Vol. 3. [Morphologie]. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Klaiman, M. H. (1991). *Grammatical Voice*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Kuipers, A. H. (1974). *The Shuswap language: Grammar, texts, dictionary*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Labov, W. & Waletzky, J. (1967). Narrative analysis: Oral versions of personal experience. In Helms, J. (Ed.), *Essays on the verbal and visual arts*. Seattle: University of Washington Press. p. 12-44
- Labov, W. (1972). *Language in the inner city: Studies in the Black English vernacular*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- LAMBRECHT, K. (1987). Sentence focus, information structure, and the thematic-categorical distinction . In ASKE, J., BEERY, N., MICHAELIS, L. & FILIP, H. (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 13th Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society. General Session and Parasession on Grammar and Cognition, BLS*. p. 366-382.
- Lambrecht, K. (1994). *Information structure and sentence form: Topic, focus, and the mental representation of discourse referents. Cambridge Studies in Linguistics 71*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lambrecht, K. (2000). When subjects behave like objects: An analysis of the merging of S and O in Sentence-Focus Constructions across languages. *Studies in Language* **24**-3. p. 611-682.
- Langacker, R. W. (1998). Conceptualization, Symbolization, and Grammar. In Tomasello, M. (Ed.) *The new psychology of language: Cognitive and functional approaches to language structure*. Mahwah, NJ : Lawrence Erlbaum. p. 1-39.
- Langacker, R. W. (2001). Discourse in Cognitive Grammar. *Cognitive Linguistics* **12**-2. p. 143-188.
- Lazard, G. (1994). *L'actance*. Paris: PUF.
- Le Goffic, P. (1993). *Grammaire de la phrase française*. Paris: Hachette.

- Lengyel, K. (2000). Az ige. In Keszler, B. (Ed.). *Magyar grammatika*. Budapest: Nemzeti Tankönyvkiadó. p. 80–94.
- Levelt, W. J. M. (1981). *The speaker's linearization problem*. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 295. p. 305-315.
- Levelt, W. J. M. (1989). *Speaking: From intention to articulation*. Cambridge/Massachussetts: The MIT Press.
- López, L. (2009). *A Derivational Syntax for Information Structure*. Oxford: University Press.
- Mackenzie, L. & Keizer, M. E. (1991). On assigning pragmatic functions in English. *Pragmatics* 1-2. p. 169-213.
- MacWhinney, B. & Pléh, Cs. (1982). *The development of sentence comprehension in Hungarian*. Unpublished manuscript. Carnegie-Melon University.
- MacWhinney, B. (1977). Starting points. *Language* 53. p. 152-168.
- MacWhinney, B. (2000). *The CHILDES Project: Tools for Analyzing Talk*. Troisième Edition. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Mandler, J. & Johnson, N. (1977). Remembrance of things parsed: Story structure and recall. *Cognitive Psychology* 9. p. 111-151.
- Mandler, J. & Johnson, N. (1984). A la recherche du conte perdu : structure de récit et rappel. In Denhière, G. (Ed.). *Il était une fois*. Lille : PUL.
- Mandler, J. (1978). A code in the node: The use of a story schema in retrieval. *Discourse Processes* 1. p. 14-35.
- Marchman, V. A., Bates, E., Burkardt, A. & Good, A. B. (1991). Functional constraints of the acquisition of the passive: Toward a model of the competence to perform. *First language* 11. p. 65-92.
- Marchman, V. A. (1989). *Episodic structure and the linguistic encoding of events in narrative : A Study of Language Acquisition Performance*. Thèse de doctorat non publiée. University of California, Berkeley.
- Marín Arrese, J. I. (1997) Cognitive and discourse-pragmatic factors in passivisation. *Atlantis* XIX-1. p. 203-218.

- Maslen, R. (2005). *A usage-based account of the development of inflectional morphology and transitivity: Analysis of a dense naturalistic corpus*. Unpublished manuscript. University of Manchester.
- Matthews, D., Lieven, E., Theakston, A. & Tomasello, M. (2006). The effect of perceptual availability and prior discourse on young children's use of referring expressions. *Applied Psycholinguistics* **27**. p. 403–422
- Mayer, M. (1969). *Frog, where are you?* New York: Dial Press.
- Mazur-Palandre, A. & Jisa, H. (2010). Le flux de l'information. Une analyse développementale de la *Preferred Argument Structure* de Du Bois (1987). In Neveu F., Muni Toke V., Durand J., Klingler T., Mondada L. & Prévost S. (Eds.). Congrès Mondial de Linguistique Française - CMLF 2010. Paris: Institut de Linguistique Française, Psycholinguistique et acquisition.
- McCabe, A. & Peterson, C. (1991). *Developing narrative structure*. Hillsdale NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Mondada, L. (1994). *Verbalisation de l'espace et fabrication du savoir: Approche linguistique de la construction des objets de discours*. Lausanne: Université de Lausanne.
- Moya, A. J. & Albentosa, J. I. (2001). Points of departure in news items and tourist brochures: Choices of theme and topic. *Text* **21**-3. p. 347-371.
- Moya, A. J. (2006). On pragmatic functions and their correlation with syntactic functions: a functionalist perspective. *Atlantis* **28**-1. p. 9-28.
- Myhill, J. (1992). *Typological Discourse Analysis. Quantitative Approaches to the Study of Linguistic Function*. Oxford/Cambridge: Blackwell.
- Naigles, L. R. & Maltempo, A. (2010). Verb argument structure acquisition in young children: defining a role for discourse. *Journal of Child Language*. Cambridge: University Press. p. 1-13.
- Nakamura, K. (1993). Referential structure in Japanese children's narratives : The acquisition of wa and ga. In Choi, S. (Ed.). *Japanese/ Korean Linguistics 3*. Standford, CA : Center for the Study of Language and Information.

- Navarretta, C. (2002). Combining Information Structure and Centering-based Models of Saliency for Resolving Intersentential Pronominal Anaphora. In Branco, A., McEnery, T. & Mitkov, R. (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 4th DAARC*. Colibri. p. 135-140
- Pearson, B. Z. & de Villiers, P. A. (2005). Child language acquisition: Discourse, narrative, and pragmatics. In Brown, K. & Lieven, E. (Eds.). *Encyclopedia of language and linguistics*. Seconde édition. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Peterson, C. & McCabe, A. (1983). *Developmental psycholinguistics: three ways of looking at a child's narrative*. New-York: Plenum Press.
- Pinker, S. (1981). A theory of the acquisition of lexical-interpretive grammars. In Bresnan, J. (Ed.). *The mental representation of grammatical relations*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Pléh, Cs. (1998). *Mondatmegértés a magyar nyelvben. Pszicholingvisztikai kísérletek és modellek*. Budapest: Osiris.
- Prat-Sala, M., Shillcock, R. & Sorace, A. (2000). Animacy effects on the production of objectdislocated descriptions by Catalan-speaking children. *Journal of Child Language* **27**. Cambridge: University Press. p. 97-117.
- Prince, E. F. (1998). On the Limits of Syntax, with reference to Left-Dislocation and Topicalization. In Culicover, P. & McNally, L. (Eds.). *Syntax and semantics 29 : The limits of syntax*. New York: Academic Press. p. 281-302.
- Propp, V. (1958). *Morphology of the folktale*. Bloomington: Indiana University.
- Ragnarsdottir, H. (1987). The development of narrative structure and its relation to the use of tenses and aspects in Icelandic children's stories. Icelandic Linguistic Society in honor of the 200th anniversary of Christian Rask, Reykjavik.
- Ragnarsdottir, H. (1991). Episodic structure and interclausal connectives in Icelandic children's narratives. In *Proceedings of Colloquium Paedolinguisticum Lundensis 1991*. Lund, Suède.
- Reinhart, T. (1981). Pragmatics and linguistics. An analysis of sentence topics. *Philosophica* **27**. p. 53-94.
- Reinhart, T. (1995). *Interface Strategies*. OTS Working Papers. Utrecht.

- Rickheit, G. & Habel, C. (Eds.) (1995). *Focus and Coherence in Discourse Processing*. Berlin: W. de Gruyter.
- Ricoeur, P. (1981). *Paul Ricoeur hermeneutics and the human sciences*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Rizzi, L. (1997). The Fine Structure of the Left Periphery. In Haegeman, L. (Ed.). *Elements of Grammar*. Dordrecht : Kluwer. p. 281-337.
- Rubin, E. (1921). *Visuell wahrgenommene Figuren: Studien in psychologischer Analyse*. Kobenhaven: Gyldendal.
- Rumelhart, D. E. (1975). Notes on a schema for stories. In Bobrow, D. G. & Collins, A. (Eds.). *Representation and understanding: Studies in cognitive Science*. New York: Academic Press.
- Sakurai, Y. (2004). On transition states and Cf ranking in Centering. *The UW Working Papers in Linguistics* **23**. p. 215-227.
- Sakurai, Y. (2005). *Centering Analysis of Japanese Discourse*. Unpublished manuscript. Simon Fraser University.
- Sanders, J. & Spooren, W. (1997). Perspective, subjectivity and modality from a cognitive linguistic point of view. In Liebert, W. A., Redeker, G. & Wough, L. (Eds.). *Discourse and Perspective in Cognitive Linguistics*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. p. 85-112.
- Schank, R. C. & Berman, T. R. (2002). The pervasive role of stories in knowledge and action. In Green, M. C., Strange, J. J. & Brock, T. C. (Eds.). *Narrative impact: Social and cognitive foundations*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. p. 287-314.
- Schmerling, S. F. (1976). *Aspects of English sentence stress*. Texas: University Press.
- Schultz, J. (2009). Az ikes paradigmáról kognitív funkcionális nyelvészeti keretben. Problémavázlat. *Félúton* **3**. Budapest : ELTE BTK Magyar Nyelvtudományi Doktori Iskola.
- Schütze-Coburn, S. (1987). *Topic management and the lexicon: A discourse profile of three-argument verbs in German*. Thèse de Doctorat. Los Angeles: University of California.

- Serratrice, L., Sorace, A. & Paoli, S. (2004). Crosslinguistic influence at the syntax-pragmatics interface: subjects and objects in Italian-English bilingual and monolingual acquisition. *Bilingualism Language and Cognition* **7**. p. 183-205.
- Shibatani, M. (1985). Passives and related constructions: a prototype analysis. *Language* **61**-4. p. 821-848.
- Silverstein, M. (1987). The three faces of "function" : Preliminaries to a psychology of language. In Hickmann, M. (Ed.). *Social and functional approaches to language and thought*. Londres, Academic Press. p. 125-164.
- Skarabela, B. (2007). Signs of early social cognition in children's syntax: The case of joint attention in argument realization in child Inuktitut. *Lingua* **117**-11. p. 1837-1857.
- Slobin, D. I. & Bever, T. G. (1982). Children use canonical sentence schemas: A crosslinguistic study of word order and inflections. *Cognition* **12**. p. 229-265.
- Slobin, D. I. (1968). Early grammatical development in several languages, with special attention to Soviet research. *Working Paper* **11**. Language-Behavior Research Laboratory, University of California.
- Slobin, D. I. (1973). Cognitive prerequisites for the development of grammar. In Ferguson, C. A. & Slobin, D. I. (Eds). *Studies of child language development*. New York: Holt, Reinhart & Winston. p. 175-208.
- Slobin, D. I. (1985). Introduction: Why Study Acquisition Crosslinguistically? In Slobin (Ed.). *The Crosslinguistic Study of Language Acquisition*. Vol. 1: *The Data*. Hillsdale, New Jersey London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Slobin, D. I. (1987). Thinking for speaking. *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*. p. 435-444.
- Dorothy Smith. 1996. "Response to Judith Stacey and Barrie Thorne's Essay," *Perspectives*, 18(3) 433-436.
- Sóres, A. (2006). *Le hongrois dans la typologie des langues*. Limoges : Lambert-Lucas.

- Stein, N. L. & Glenn, C. G. (1982). Children's concept of time: The development of a story schema. In Friedman, W. J. (Ed). *The developmental psychology of time*. New York: Academic Press.
- Stern, C. & Stern, W. (1907). *Die Kindersprache*. Leipzig: Earth.
- Strawson, P. F. (1964). Identifying Reference and Truth-Values. *Theoria* **30**. p. 96-118.
- Strömquist, S. & Verhoeven, L. (Eds.) (2003). *Relating events in narrative: typological and contextual perspectives*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Sutherland-Smith, W. (1996). Spoken Narrative and Preferred Clause Structure: Evidence from Modern Hebrew Discourse. *Studies in Language* **20**-1. p. 163-189.
- Svennevig, J. (1999). *Getting acquainted in conversation: a study of initial interactions*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Szili, K. (1996). A mediálisokról az –ódi, ódi funkciói kapcsán. *Dolgozatok a Magyar mint idegen nyelv és a hungarológia köréből* **33**. Budapest: ELTE BTK Központi Magyar Nyelvi Lektorátus.
- Szili, K. (1999). Valahol a passzívum és a mediálisok között... (A szenvedő jelentéstartalom változó megjelenésmódja kapcsán). *Magyar Nyelvőr* **123**-3.
- Taboada, M. (2002). Centering and Pronominal Reference: In Dialogue, In Spanish. In Bos, F. & Matheson (Eds.). *Proceedings of the sixth workshop on the semantics and pragmatics of dialogue, EDILOG-2002*. Edinburgh. p. 177-184.
- Talmy, L. (2000). *Toward a cognitive semantics*. Vol. 1.: *Concept structuring systems*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Tannen, D. (1982). *Spoken and written language: Exploring orality and literacy*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Tolcsvai Nagy, G. (2000a). A kognitív nyelvészet elméleti hozadéka a szövegtan számára. *Magyar Nyelvőr* **124**. p. 494–500.
- Tolcsvai Nagy, G. (2000b). Vázlat az ő - az anaforikus megoszlásról. *Magyar Nyelv* **96**-2. p. 282–296.
- Tolcsvai Nagy, G. (2001). *A magyar nyelv szövegtana*. Budapest: Nemzeti Tankönyvkiadó.

Tolcsvai Nagy, G. (2002). Retorikai cselekvés és valóságleképezés a klasszicizmus és a romantika határán. In Szikszainé, N. I. (Ed.). *Kossuth Lajos, a szó művésze. Tanulmányok Kossuth stílusművészetéről*. Debrecen: DE Magyar Nyelvtudományi Intézet. p. 19–31.

Tolcsvai Nagy, G. (2003). Topikaktiválás és topikfolytonosság magyar nyelvű szövegekben. In Németh, T. & Bibok (Ed.). *Általános Nyelvészeti Tanulmányok XX*.

Tolcsvai Nagy, G. (2005). A magyar birtokos szerkezet jelentéstana, kognitív keretben. *Általános Nyelvészeti Tanulmányok 21*. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. p. 43-70.

Tolcsvai Nagy, G. (2006a). A szöveg. In Kiefer, F. (Ed.). *Magyar nyelv*. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. p. 149-174.

Tolcsvai Nagy, G. (2006b). *A nézőpont szerepe a mondatban*. Magyar Tudományos Akadémia. I. Osztály. Archívum, Doktori előadások.

Tomlin, R. S. (1995). Focal attention, voice, and word order. In Downing, P. & Noonan, M. (Eds.). *Word order in discourse*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. p. 517-554.

Trabasso, T. & Rodkin, P. (1994). Knowledge of goal/plans: A conceptual basis for narrating *Frog, where are you?* In Berman, R. & Slobin, D. I. (Eds.). *Relating events in narrative: A cross-linguistic study*. Hillsdale NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum. p. 85-106.

Vallduví, E. & Vilkuña, M. (1998). On Rheme and Kontrast. In Culicover, P. & McNally, L. (Eds.). *The Limits of Syntax*. New York: Academic Press. p. 79–106.

van Dijk, T. A. & Kintsch, W. (1983). *Strategies of Discourse Comprehension*. Orlando, Florida: Academic Press.

van Dijk, T. A. (1977). Sentence Topic and Discourse Topic. *Papers in Slavic Philology 1*. p. 49-61

van Dijk, T. A. (1981). *Studies in the Pragmatics of Discourse*. La Haye: Mouton. VAN

Van Kuppevelt, J. (1995). Discourse structure, topicality and questioning. *Journal of Linguistics 31*. p. 109-147.

Verhoeven, L. (1993). Acquisition of narrative skills in a bilingual contexte. In Kettemann, B. & Wieden, W. (Eds.). *Current issues in European second language*

acquisition research. Tübingen : Gunter Narr. p. 307-332.

Vieu, L., Bras, M., Le Draoulec, A. & Asher, N. (2006). Adverbiaux de localisation comme introducteurs de topiques de discours. In Nicolas, D. & Retoré, C. (Eds.). *Journées du GDR Sémantique et Modélisation*. Bordeaux.

Vigier, D. (2003). Les syntagmes prépositionnels en « en N » détachés en tête de phrase référant à des domaines d'activité. *Linguisticae Investigationes* **26**-1. p. 97 - 122.

Virtanen, T. (2004). Point of departure: Cognitive aspects of sentence-initial adverbials. In Virtanen, T. (Ed.). *Approaches to cognition through text and discourse*. p. 79-97.

von der Gabelentz, H. G. C. (1869). Ideen zu einer vergleichenden Syntax. Wort- und Satzstellung. *Zeitschrift für Völkerpsychologie und Sprachwissenschaft* **6**. p. 376-384.

Vonk, W., Hustinx, G. & Simons, W. (1992). The use of referential expressions in structuring discourse. *Language and Cognitive Processes* **7**-3/4. p. 301-333.

von Stutterheim, C. & Klein, W. (1989). Referential movement in descriptive and narrative discourse. In Dietrich, R. & Graumann, C. F. (Eds.). *Language processing in social context*. Amsterdam: North-Holland. p. 39-76.

Weist, R. M. (1983). The word order myth. *Journal of Child Language* **10**. Cambridge: University Press. p. 97-106.

Werner, H. & Kaplan, B. (1984). *Symbol formation*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. (Travail original publié en 1963, New-York, Wiley).

Werth, P. (1984). *Focus, coherence, and emphasis*. London: Croom Helm.

Westby, C. (1985). Learning to talk-talking to learn: Oral-literate language differences. In Simon, C. S. (Ed.). *Communication skills and classroom success*. San Diego: College-Hill Press. p. 181-213

Westby, C. (1994). The effects of culture in genre, structure, and style of oral and written texts. In Wallach, G. P. & Butler, K. G. (Eds.). *Language learning disabilities in school-age children and adolescents: Some principles and applications*. New York: Macmillan College. p. 180-218.

White, H. (1981). The value of narrativity in the representation of reality. In

Mitchell, W. J. T. (Ed.). *On Narrative*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press. p. 1-23.

Wigglesworth, G. (1990). Children's narrative acquisition : A study of some aspects of reference and anaphora. *First Language* **10**. p. 105-126.

Włodarczyk, H. (2003). L'Interprétation dynamique des centres d'intérêt dans les dialogues français et polonais. *Etudes linguistiques romano-slaves offertes à Stanisław Karolak*. Cracovie: Oficyna Wydawnicza Edukacja. p. 511-528.

Zacharski, R. (1993). *A discourse pragmatics model of English Accent*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.

Zubizarreta, M. L. (1998). *Prosody, Focus, and Word Order*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT.